

Feeding Low-Starch Diets to Lactating Dairy Cows

H. M. Dann¹

William H. Miner Agricultural Research Institute

Introduction

Common recommendations for dietary starch content (dry matter (DM) basis) for lactating cows are 23 to 30% (Grant, 2005), 24 to 26% (Staples, 2007), and greater than 24% (Shaver, 2008). Surveys of dairy herds that produced more than 12,700 kg of milk per cow per year found that dietary starch content ranged between 15 and 30% (Hall and Van Horn, 2001; Johnson et al., 2002; Shaver and Kaiser, 2004; Chase, 2006). The major source of dietary starch for lactating cows is corn according to the Dairy 2007 survey (USDA, 2008). Corn, oats, barley, and wheat were fed to lactating cows in 94, 18, 14, and 7% of herds, respectively. The price for corn grain as a livestock feed has increased substantially in recent years. Consequently, lower-starch feeding strategies that minimize the amount of corn may be more profitable than higher-starch diets particularly if lactational performance and ruminal fermentation are not compromised.

Strategies to Lower the Dietary Starch Content

Recently, strategies for formulating lactating cow diets with high corn prices have been suggested and include using less corn grain and using more high-quality forage and byproduct feeds to provide highly digestible neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and nonfiber carbohydrates (Chase, 2007; Knapp, 2007; Staples, 2007; Shaver, 2008). Summarized in Table 1 are the dietary conditions and dry matter intake (DMI) and milk yield results of studies on replacement of corn starch with nonforage fiber sources (NFFS) and other carbohydrate sources to yield lower-starch ($\leq 23\%$) diets.

Use of NFFS is a practical way to reduce the dietary starch content while maintaining lactational performance. Batajoo and Shaver (1994) replaced shelled corn and soybean meal with wheat middlings (0 to 9%), dried brewers grains (3 to 20%), and soyhulls (SH; 0 to 9%) to provide alfalfa silage-based diets ranging in starch content from 32.9 to 17.6% to lactating cows. Decreasing the dietary starch content linearly decreased DMI, milk protein content, and milk protein yield, linearly increased milk fat content, ruminal pH, ruminal acetate concentration, ruminal acetate:propionate, and total tract digestibility of NDF and starch, and had no effect on milk yield. In another study (Ipharraguerre et al., 2002), SH (0 to 40%) were used to replace corn grain in alfalfa/corn silage-based diets for mid-lactation cows. There tended to be a linear decrease in DMI as SH replaced corn, but the major decrease in DMI occurred at the 30 and 40% inclusion level of SH. Milk yield tended to decrease at the 40% inclusion level.

¹ Contact at: William H. Miner Agricultural Research Institute, 1034 Miner Farm Road, PO Box 90, Chazy, NY 12921; Work Phone: (518)846-7121; Email: dann@whminer.com

Milk fat content increased linearly with more SH and less starch. Thus, cows can be fed successfully a 19% starch diet containing up to 30% SH.

Voelker and Allen (2003abc) replaced high moisture corn with 0 to 24% beet pulp to formulate alfalfa/corn silage-based diets with decreasing starch content (34.6 to 18.4%) for lactating cows. Decreasing starch content linearly decreased DMI and microbial nitrogen yield, tended to linearly increase feed efficiency, and had no effect on milk yield and milk composition. Ruminal passage rate of starch, ruminal digestion rate of potentially digestible NDF, and total tract digestibility of organic matter and NDF increased linearly, while ruminal digestion rate of starch decreased linearly with decreasing starch content. Corn hominy was partially replaced with citrus pulp (24%) in corn silage-based diets to provide diets containing 26.5 and 15.1% starch to mid-lactation cows (Leiva et al., 2000). There was no effect of diet on DMI, milk yield, or milk composition. Broderick et al. (2002) used alfalfa silage-based diets and partially replaced high moisture ear corn or cracked corn with citrus pulp (19%). Dry matter intake and milk yield were reduced when the citrus pulp was fed. Boddugari et al. (2001) replaced corn and soybean meal with wet corn gluten feed (CGF; 0 to 40%) in alfalfa/corn silage based diets fed to lactating cows. Dry matter intake tended to be lower for the diets containing the wet CGF. Diet did not affect milk yield or milk composition. Ranathunga et al. (2008) partially replaced corn starch with dried distillers grains with solubles (0 to 21%) in diets for lactating cows. As the starch content decreased from 28.0 to 17.5%, DMI linearly decreased and feed efficiency tended to linearly increase. There was no effect of diet on milk yield or composition.

Replacing corn starch with sugar sources in high forage diets containing alfalfa silage and corn silage is a viable strategy for reducing dietary starch content while maintaining milk yield. Broderick and Radloff (2004) replaced high moisture shelled corn with 0 to 12% dried molasses in diets for mid-lactation cows. Decreasing the starch content from 31.5 to 23.2% linearly increased DMI and total tract digestibility of DM, organic matter, and NDF, but had no effect on milk yield and ruminal fermentation. Broderick et al. (2008) fed lactating cows diets containing 21.5, 24.5, 27.4, and 28.2% starch; corn starch was replaced with 0 to 7.5% sucrose. Decreasing starch content linearly increased DMI, milk fat content, and milk fat yield, linearly decreased feed efficiency, ruminal acetate concentration, and ruminal acetate:propionate, and had no effect on milk yield and ruminal pH.

Another feasible strategy to reduce the dietary starch content is to replace corn with high-quality forage. Valadares Filho et al. (2000) substituted alfalfa silage for high moisture ear corn and soybean meal. As the starch content decreased, there was a linear decrease in DMI and milk yield with the majority of the decrease in DMI occurring at the lowest starch level. There were linear and quadratic responses in milk fat content and yield. Reducing the dietary starch content to less than 20.7% should be avoided when substituting alfalfa silage for corn starch. Oba and Allen (2003ab) fed lactating cows diets containing either ground high moisture corn or dry ground corn at two dietary starch contents (32 and 21%). Dry matter intake, milk yield, and milk protein content were lower for the low-starch diets. Milk fat content, body condition loss, ruminal pH,

and acetate:propionate were higher for the low-starch diets. Total tract digestibility (%) of starch was lower for the low-starch diets, but ruminal digestion (%) of starch was not affected by starch content.

Research at Miner Institute with Low-Starch Diets

We (Dann et al., 2008) used 12 multiparous, mid-lactation cows in a replicated 3x3 Latin square design study with 21-d periods (7-d collection) to determine the effect of feeding diets containing 18, 21, and 25% starch (Table 2) on lactational performance, ruminal fermentation, and total tract nutrient digestibility (Table 3). Dietary starch was reduced by decreasing the amount of corn grain and increasing the amount of beet pulp, wheat middlings, and distiller's grains. Cows were able to maintain high productivity on all diets. Dry matter intake (26.5 kg/d), milk yield (43.5 kg/d), milk fat content (3.54%), milk true protein content (3.14%), and efficiency of milk production (1.65 kg milk/kg DMI) were unaffected by diet. Diet also had no effect on ruminal pH averaged over 24 h (6.06), total volatile fatty acids (150 mM), acetate:propionate ratio (2.4), or microbial nitrogen yield (584 g/d). Total tract digestibility of organic matter was higher for the 25% starch diet (69.2%) compared with the 21% (67.3%) and 18% (67.0%) starch diets but was of little biological relevance. Digestibility of NDF and starch was not affected by diet.

In summary, with the sources of corn grain, corn silage, and byproducts fed in this study, we observed no effect on feed intake, milk component production, ruminal metabolism, or microbial protein yield when dietary starch was varied between 18 and 25%. It is important to note that, as dietary starch decreased, ruminal fermentability increased and consequently the range between the 25 and 18% starch diets in rumen fermentable starch (3.5%-units) was less than the range in starch content (6.9%-units). When predicting the potential impact of starch content of the diet on animal response, we need to consider not only the amount, but the digestibility of the starch.

A low-starch, low-forage dietary strategy may be advantageous when corn starch and forages are either expensive or availability is limited. We (Myers et al., 2009) used 16 mid-lactation Holstein cows in a replicated 4x4 Latin square design study with 21-d periods (9-d collection) to determine the effect of feeding diets containing low-starch (formulated at 19% of DM) and different amounts of forage (52, 47, 43, and 39% of DM; Table 4) on lactational performance, ruminal characteristics, and total tract digestibility. Dry matter intake was lowest (3.47% of body weight) when cows were fed the 52% forage diet and highest (3.67% of body weight) when cows were fed the 39% forage diet ($P = 0.03$). Diet did not affect ($P > 0.10$) milk yield (42.6 kg/d), milk fat content (3.60%), or milk true protein content (3.02%). Because there was an effect of diet on DMI, but not milk yield, efficiency was highest (1.87 kg milk/kg DMI) when cows were fed the 52% forage diet and lowest (1.77) when cows were fed the 39% forage diet ($P = 0.02$). Mean ruminal pH (6.07) and microbial nitrogen yield (450 g/d) were not affected ($P > 0.10$) by diet. As the forage content of the diets decreased from 52 to 39%, the total tract OM digestibility decreased from 65 to 61% ($P < 0.01$) and the total tract NDF digestibility decreased from 39 to 29% ($P < 0.01$). Lower forage diets with low-starch content are a

good strategy for feeding high-producing dairy cows under conditions of expensive or limited supplies of corn and forages, but the limit appears to be between 39 and 43% forage with these types of diets when high productivity is expected.

Watch the Cows when Feeding Low-Starch Diets

Most of the research conducted with low-starch diets has been short-term (i.e. less than 8 wk) and focused on mid-lactation cows. The long-term effect of feeding low-starch diets to cows in all stages of lactation is unknown. Therefore, when implementing low-starch diets on an entire herd basis, the nutritionist and dairy producer should watch for signs that may indicate that the dietary starch content is too low. Signs include decreased milk production, decreased milk protein content and yield, decreased body condition and weight, increased milk urea nitrogen, and stiffer manure (Staples, 2007). In addition to watching the cows, feed ingredients should be monitored for changes in NDF and starch digestibility. Providing the proper amounts of ruminally fermentable carbohydrates are critical to optimizing ruminal fermentation and generating volatile fatty acids and microbial protein for energy and amino acid use by the cow.

Conclusions

Corn grain can be replaced with byproduct feeds in lactating cow diets resulting in low-starch (18 to 21%) diets without adverse effects on ruminal fermentation and lactational performance. In particular, diets containing NFFS that provide digestible NDF can support excellent production and feed efficiency with lower than commonly recommended amounts of starch. When a low-starch diet strategy is implemented on a herd, be sure to include feed ingredients that provide highly digestible starch and NDF, monitor the cow's performance, and analyze feed ingredients for NDF and starch digestibility.

References

- Abdelqader, M.M., A.R. Hippen, K.F. Kalscheur, D.J. Schingoethe, K. Karges and M.L. Gibson. 2009. Evaluation of corn germ from ethanol production as an alternative fat source in dairy cow diets. *J. Dairy Sci.* 92:1023-1037.
- Arndt, C., L.E. Armentano and M.B. Hall. 2009. Corn bran vs. corn grain at two levels of forage: intake and production responses by lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 92 (E-Suppl. 1):94.
- Batajoo, K.K. and R.D. Shaver. 1994. Impact of nonfiber carbohydrate on intake, digestion, and milk production by dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 77:1580-1588.
- Boddugari, K., R.J. Grant, R. Stock and M. Lewis. 2001. Maximal replacement of forage and concentrate with a new wet corn milling product for lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 84:873-884.
- Broderick, G.A., D.R. Mertens and R. Simons. 2002. Efficacy of carbohydrate sources for milk production by cows fed diets based on alfalfa silage. *J. Dairy Sci.* 85:1767-1776.

- Broderick, G.A. and W.J. Radloff. 2004. Effect of molasses supplementation on the production of lactating dairy cows fed diets based on alfalfa and corn silage. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87:2997-3009.
- Broderick, G.A., N.D. Luchini, S.M. Reynal, G.A. Varga and V.A. Ishler. 2008. Effect on production of replacing dietary starch with sucrose in lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91:4801-4810.
- Charbonneau, E., P.Y. Chouinard, G. Allard, H. Lapierre and D. Pellerin. 2006. Milk from forage as affected by carbohydrate source and degradability with alfalfa silage-based diets. *J. Dairy Sci.* 89:283-293.
- Chase, L.E. 2006. What can we learn from the feeding programs of high producing dairy herds? Pages 2-29 in *Proc. 2006 Feed Dealer Seminars. Anim. Sci. Mimeo 230*, Dept. Anim. Sci., Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY.
- Chase, L.E. 2007. Can we feed less starch to our cows? Pages 213-220 in *Proc. Cornell Nutr. Conf. Feed Manuf.*, East Syracuse, NY. Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY.
- Dann, H.M., K.W. Cotanch, P.D. Krawczel, C.S. Mooney, R.J. Grant and T. Eguchi. 2008. Evaluation of low starch diets for lactating Holstein dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91 (E-Suppl. 1):530.
- Gozho, G.N. and T. Mutsvangwa. 2008. Influence of carbohydrate source on ruminal fermentation characteristics, performance, and microbial protein synthesis in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91:2726-2735.
- Grant, R. 2005. Optimizing starch concentrations in dairy rations. Pages 73-79 in *Proc. Tri-State Dairy Nutr. Conf.*, Fort Wayne, IN.
- Hall, M.B. and J.J. Van Horn. 2001. How should we formulate for non-NDF carbohydrates? Pages 44-49 in *Proc. Florida Ruminant Nutr. Symposium*, Gainesville, FL.
- Ipharraguerre, I.R., R.R. Ipharraguerre and J.H. Clark. 2002. Performance of lactating dairy cows fed varying amounts of soyhulls as a replacement for corn grain. *J. Dairy Sci.* 85:2905-2912.
- Johnson, L.M., J.H. Harrison and F. Hoisington. 2002. What are top-producing herds doing? *Hoard's Dairyman* 147:552.
- Knapp, J. 2007. Strategies for diet formulation with high corn prices. Pages 87-95 in *Proc. Tri-State Dairy Nutr. Conf.*, Fort Wayne, IN.
- Leiva, E., M.B. Hall and H.H. Van Horn. 2000. Performance of dairy cattle fed citrus pulp or corn products as sources of neutral detergent-soluble carbohydrates. *J. Dairy Sci.* 83:2866-2875.
- Myers, E.R., H.M. Dann, K.W. Cotanch, C.S. Mooney, R.J. Grant, A.L. Lock and K. Yagi. 2009. Effect of feeding low-starch, low-forage diets to mid-lactation dairy cows on lactational performance and ruminal characteristics. *J. Dairy Sci.* 92 (E-Suppl. 1):584.
- Oba, M. and M.S. Allen. 2003a. Effects of corn grain conservation method on feeding behavior and productivity of lactating dairy cows at two dietary starch concentrations. *J. Dairy Sci.* 86:174-183.
- Oba, M. and M.S. Allen. 2003b. Effects of corn grain conservation method on ruminal digestion kinetics for lactating dairy cows at two dietary starch concentrations. *J. Dairy Sci.* 86:184-194.

- Ranathunga, S.D., K.F. Kalscheur, A.R. Hippen and D.J. Schingoethe. 2008. Replacement of starch from corn with non-forage fiber from distillers grains in diets of lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91 (E-Suppl. 1):531.
- Shaver, R. and R. Kaiser. 2004. Feeding programs in high producing dairy herds. Pages 143-170 in *Proc. Tri-State Dairy Nutr. Conf.*, Fort Wayne, IN.
- Shaver, R.D. 2008. Coping with high corn prices: low starch diets and lactation performance by dairy cows. Pages 128-133 in *Proc. 6th Mid-Atlantic Nutr. Conf.*, Timonium, MD.
- Staples, C.R. 2007. Feeding dairy cows when corn prices are high. Pages 7-22 in *Proc. 44th Florida Dairy Production Conf.*, Gainesville, FL.
- USDA. 2008. Dairy 2007, Part III: Reference of dairy cattle health and management practices in the United States, 2007, USDA-APHIS-VS, CEAH. Fort Collins, CO.
- Valadares Filho, S.C., G.A. Broderick, R.F.D. Valadares and M.K. Clayton. 2000. Effect of replacing alfalfa silage with high moisture corn on nutrient utilization and milk production. *J. Dairy Sci.* 83:106-114.
- Voelker, J.A. and M.S. Allen. 2003a. Pelleted beet pulp substituted for high-moisture corn: 1. Effects on feed intake, chewing behavior and milk production of lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 86:3542-3552.
- Voelker, J.A. and M.S. Allen. 2003b. Pelleted beet pulp substituted for high-moisture corn: 2. Effects on digestion and ruminal digestion kinetics in lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 86:3553-3561.
- Voelker, J.A. and M.S. Allen. 2003c. Pelleted beet pulp substituted for high-moisture corn: 3. Effects on ruminal fermentation, pH, and microbial protein efficiency in lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 86:3562-3570.

Table 1. Summary of selected research where corn starch was replaced with nonforage fiber sources or other carbohydrate sources resulting in low-starch (< 23%) diets

| Reference; Treatment information | Dietary content, % of dry matter ¹ | | | | | | DMI, kg/d | Milk, kg/d |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------|------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Treatment | F:C | Forage | NDF | Forage NDF | Starch | | |
| Replacement of Corn Starch with Nonforage Fiber Sources | | | | | | | | |
| Batajoo and Shaver, 1994; shelled corn and soybean meal replaced with wheat middlings (0 to 9%), dried brewers grains (3 to 20%), and soybean hulls (0 to 9%) | 0, 3, 0 | 48:52 | 48 AS | 28.2 | 20.5 | 32.9 | 27.6 ^L | 40.2 |
| | 7, 7, 0 | 48:52 | 48 AS | 32.9 | 20.5 | 28.5 | 27.2 ^L | 39.7 |
| | 10, 9, 7 | 48:52 | 48 AS | 37.4 | 20.5 | 24.0 | 26.7 ^L | 39.7 |
| | 9, 20, 9 | 48:52 | 48 AS | 42.9 | 20.5 | 17.6 | 25.8 ^L | 39.5 |
| Leiva et al., 2000, study 1; corn hominy (CH) replaced with dried citrus pulp (24%) | CH | 33:67 | 7 AH, 27 CS | 35.9 | - | 26.5 | 21.4 | 32.8 |
| | Citrus pulp | 33:67 | 7 AH, 27 CS | 36.5 | - | 15.1 | 20.9 | 31.3 |
| Leiva et al., 2000, study 2; corn meal replaced with dried citrus pulp (21%) | Corn meal | 46:54 | 16 AH, 30 CS | 33.8 | - | 19.1 | - | 31.8 ^a |
| | Citrus pulp | 45:55 | 16 AH, 29 CS | 34.4 | - | 12.9 | - | 27.9 ^b |
| Boddugari et al., 2001, study 1; corn and soybean meal replaced with wet corn gluten feed (0 to 45%) | 0 | 54:46 | 27 AS, 27 CS | 28.2 | 22.8 | 30E | 24.7 ^a | 30.4 |
| | 22 | 54:46 | 27 AS, 27 CS | 35.4 | 22.8 | 23E | 22.5 ^b | 30.5 |
| | 34 | 54:46 | 27 AS, 27 CS | 38.2 | 22.8 | 18E | 23.1 ^{ab} | 30.8 |
| | 45 | 54:46 | 27 AS, 27 CS | 41.6 | 22.8 | 15E | 21.8 ^b | 29.5 |
| Ipharraguerre et al., 2002; corn replaced with soybean hulls (0 to 40%) | 0 | 46:54 | 23 AS, 23 CS | 29.4 | 19.0 | 38E | 23.8 | 29.5 |
| | 10 | 46:54 | 23 AS, 23 CS | 34.4 | 19.0 | 31E | 24.8 | 29.3 |
| | 20 | 46:54 | 23 AS, 23 CS | 39.9 | 19.0 | 24E | 24.4 | 29.9 |
| | 30 | 46:54 | 23 AS, 23 CS | 44.8 | 19.0 | 17E | 22.9 | 29.3 |
| | 40 | 46:54 | 23 AS, 23 CS | 49.4 | 19.0 | 9E | 22.7 | 28.3 |
| Broderick et al., 2002, study 1; high moisture ear corn (HMEC) or cracked corn (CC) replaced with dried citrus pulp (19%) | HMEC | 60:40 | 50 AS, 10 GS | 27.4 | 22.0 | 30.6 | 20.0 | 34.5 ^A |
| | CC | 60:40 | 50 AS, 10 GS | 26.2 | 22.0 | 31.0 | 20.9 ^a | 33.6 ^a |
| | Citrus pulp | 60:40 | 50 AS, 10 GS | 28.4 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 19.2 ^b | 29.9 ^{Bb} |

Table 1 continued.

| Reference; Treatment information | Dietary content, % of dry matter ¹ | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------|------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Treatment | F:C | Forage | NDF | Forage NDF | Starch | DMI, kg/d | Milk, kg/d |
| Voelker and Allen, 2003a; high moisture corn replaced with pelleted beet pulp (0 to 24%) | 0 | 40:60 | 20 AS, 20 CS | 24.3 | 17.1 | 34.6 | 24.8 ^L | 36.4 |
| | 6 | 40:60 | 20 AS, 20 CS | 26.2 | 17.1 | 30.5 | 25.0 ^L | 36.6 |
| | 12 | 40:60 | 20 AS, 20 CS | 28.0 | 17.1 | 26.5 | 25.1 ^L | 35.9 |
| | 24 | 40:60 | 20 AS, 20 CS | 31.6 | 17.1 | 18.4 | 22.9 ^L | 35.4 |
| Ranathunga et al., 2008; corn replaced with dried distillers grains with solubles (0 to 21%) | 0 | 49:51 | - | - | 21.0 | 28.0 | 25.6 ^L | 39.4 |
| | 7 | 49:51 | - | - | 21.0 | 24.5 | 25.0 ^L | 37.4 |
| | 14 | 49:51 | - | - | 21.0 | 21.0 | 23.4 ^L | 37.7 |
| | 21 | 49:51 | - | - | 21.0 | 17.5 | 22.9 ^L | 38.3 |
| Replacement of Corn Starch with Other Carbohydrate Sources | | | | | | | | |
| Valadares Filho et al., 2000; alfalfa silage replaced with high moisture corn (19 to 56%) | 19 | 80:20 | 80 AS | 42.9 | 37.9 | 12.3 | 22.1 ^{LQ} | 31.2 ^L |
| | 31 | 65:35 | 65 AS | 38.2 | 31.1 | 20.7 | 25.2 ^{LQ} | 36.0 ^L |
| | 44 | 50:50 | 50 AS | 32.6 | 23.9 | 29.5 | 26.4 ^{LQ} | 39.8 ^L |
| | 56 | 35:65 | 35 AS | 27.7 | 16.8 | 38.3 | 25.6 ^{LQ} | 43.4 ^L |
| Oba and Allen, 2003a; ground high moisture corn (HMC) or dry ground corn (DGC) at 32 or 21% starch | HMC, 21 | 66:34 | 34 AS, 32 CS | 30.1 | 25.3 | 21.0 | 19.7 ^b | 33.4 ^b |
| | DGC, 21 | 66:34 | 34 AS, 32 CS | 30.5 | 25.4 | 21.3 | 19.6 ^b | 34.3 ^b |
| | HMC, 32 | 43:57 | 22 AS, 21 CS | 23.1 | 16.5 | 31.1 | 20.8 ^a | 38.8 ^a |
| | DGC, 32 | 43:57 | 22 AS, 21 CS | 24.2 | 16.5 | 32.2 | 22.5 ^a | 38.4 ^a |
| Broderick and Radloff, 2004, study 1; high moisture corn replaced with dried molasses (0 to 12%) | 0 | 60:40 | 40 AS, 21 CS | 28.2 | 22.9 | 31.5 | 25.3 ^{Lab} | 38.0 ^{Cab} |
| | 4 | 60:40 | 40 AS, 21 CS | 29.1 | 22.9 | 28.4 | 25.7 ^{Lab} | 37.5 ^{Cab} |
| | 8 | 60:40 | 40 AS, 21 CS | 29.2 | 22.9 | 25.2 | 26.3 ^{La} | 38.9 ^{Ca} |
| | 12 | 60:40 | 40 AS, 21 CS | 29.3 | 22.9 | 23.2 | 26.0 ^{Lab} | 36.7 ^{Cb} |

Table 1 continued.

| Reference; Treatment information | Dietary content, % of dry matter ¹ | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------|------------|--------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | Treatment | F:C | Forage | NDF | Forage NDF | Starch | DMI, kg/d | Milk, kg/d |
| Charbonneau et al., 2006; cracked corn (CC, 47%), ground corn (GC, 47%), GC (35%) + wheat starch (WS, 11%), GC (35%) + dried whey permeate (WP, 11%) | CC | 45:55 | 45 AS | 28.9 | 18.8 | 26.7 | 22.7 ^c | 34.0 ^c |
| | GC | 45:55 | 45 AS | 27.1 | 18.8 | 28.8 | 24.3 ^b | 37.4 ^{ab} |
| | GC + WS | 45:55 | 45 AS | 25.1 | 18.8 | 33.3 | 24.4 ^b | 37.6 ^a |
| | GC + WP | 45:55 | 45 AS | 24.9 | 18.8 | 21.6 | 25.7 ^a | 35.8 ^b |
| Broderick et al., 2008; corn starch replaced with sucrose (0 to 7.5%) | 0 | 60:40 | 39 AS, 21 CS | 30.0 | 25.6 | 28.2 | 24.5 ^{Lb} | 38.8 |
| | 2.5 | 60:40 | 39 AS, 21 CS | 29.2 | 25.6 | 27.4 | 25.4 ^{Lab} | 40.6 |
| | 5.0 | 60:40 | 39 AS, 21 CS | 29.6 | 25.6 | 24.5 | 26.0 ^{La} | 39.4 |
| | 7.5 | 60:40 | 39 AS, 21 CS | 29.6 | 25.6 | 21.5 | 26.0 ^{La} | 39.3 |
| Gozho and Mutsvangwa, 2008; barley (31%), corn (29%), wheat (33%), and oats (31%) as primary starch source | Barley | 48:52 | 18 AH, 30 BS | 32.7 | - | 19.2 | 26.2 | 40.2 |
| | Corn | 48:52 | 18 AH, 30 BS | 32.9 | - | 21.8 | 26.0 | 38.9 |
| | Wheat | 52:48 | 20 AH, 32 BS | 33.0 | - | 22.4 | 24.0 | 36.8 |
| | Oats | 48:52 | 18 AH, 30 BS | 35.7 | - | 15.2 | 25.2 | 38.4 |
| Abdelqader et al., 2009; corn and soybean meal replaced with corn germ (0 to 21%) | 0 | 55:45 | 25 AH, 30 CS | 28.3 | 23.5 | 24 | 28.1 ^Q | 37.3 ^Q |
| | 7 | 55:45 | 25 AH, 30 CS | 28.8 | 23.5 | 23.3 | 29.1 ^Q | 38.0 ^Q |
| | 14 | 55:45 | 25 AH, 30 CS | 29.8 | 23.5 | 21.6 | 28.8 ^Q | 38.2 ^Q |
| | 21 | 55:45 | 25 AH, 30 CS | 30.7 | 23.5 | 19.3 | 27.3 ^Q | 36.3 ^Q |
| Arndt et al., 2009; corn (C) replaced with corn bran (CB;19, 38%) in high forage (HF, 64%) and low forage (LF, 38%) diets | HFC | 64:36 | 29 AS, 36 CS | 29.7 | 18.1 | 25.6 | 24.8 ^{bc} | 42.0 ^b |
| | HFCB | 64:36 | 29 AS, 36 CS | 42.6 | 18.1 | 14.8 | 24.3 ^c | 38.7 ^c |
| | LFC | 38:62 | 17 AS, 21 CS | 23.1 | 10.9 | 34.0 | 26.7 ^a | 46.7 ^a |
| | LFCB | 38:62 | 17 AS, 21 CS | 48.4 | 10.8 | 12.5 | 25.9 ^{ab} | 40.5 ^{bc} |

¹ F:C = forage to concentrate ratio, NDF = neutral detergent fiber, DMI = dry matter intake, AS = alfalfa silage, AH = alfalfa hay, CS = corn silage, GS = grass silage, BS = barley silage, E = starch content estimated with CPM-Dairy v.3.

^L = linear effect with $P \leq 0.05$, ^Q = quadratic effect with $P \leq 0.05$, ^c = cubic effect with $P \leq 0.05$, ^{abc or AB} Least squares means within the same column and study without a common superscript differ with $P \leq 0.05$.

Table 2. Ingredient and chemical composition (dry matter basis) of diets containing 18, 21, or 25% starch fed to lactating Holstein cows

| Item | Diet | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 18% starch | 21% starch | 25% starch |
| Ingredient composition | | | |
| Corn silage, % | 30.2 | 30.2 | 30.4 |
| Grass silage, % | 18.5 | 18.4 | 18.6 |
| Alfalfa hay, % | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Soybean meal (48%), % | 7.1 | 8.0 | 8.4 |
| Corn, finely ground, % | 3.4 | 10.1 | 16.9 |
| Beet pulp, % | 6.7 | 3.4 | - |
| Wheat middlings, % | 13.4 | 10.1 | 6.8 |
| Distillers grains, % | 9.7 | 8.7 | 7.8 |
| Other, % | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| Chemical composition | | | |
| Crude protein, % | 17.4 | 17.6 | 17.2 |
| Acid detergent fiber, % | 22.2 | 20.8 | 20.0 |
| Neutral detergent fiber, % | 38.0 | 36.5 | 34.2 |
| Forage neutral detergent fiber, % | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.8 |
| Sugar, % | 4.8 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| Starch, % | 17.7 | 21.0 | 24.6 |
| Starch 6-h digestibility, % starch | 82.5 | 77.3 | 73.6 |
| Rumen fermentable starch, % | 14.6 | 16.2 | 18.1 |

Table 3. Lactational performance, ruminal fermentation, and total tract digestibility data of lactating Holstein cows fed diets containing 18, 21, or 25% starch

| Item ¹ | Diet | | | SEM | P-value |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|---------|
| | 18% starch | 21% starch | 25% starch | | |
| DMI, kg/d | 26.4 | 26.9 | 26.3 | 0.8 | 0.51 |
| DMI, % of BW/d | 3.68 | 3.72 | 3.65 | 0.10 | 0.60 |
| Milk, kg/d | 42.9 | 43.4 | 44.1 | 1.9 | 0.60 |
| 3.5 % FCM, kg/d | 43.1 | 43.4 | 43.8 | 1.8 | 0.86 |
| Milk fat, % | 3.57 | 3.57 | 3.48 | 0.15 | 0.45 |
| Milk true protein, % | 3.09 | 3.18 | 3.14 | 0.07 | 0.19 |
| Milk/DMI, kg/kg | 1.64 | 1.62 | 1.68 | 0.08 | 0.32 |
| Ruminal pH | 6.10 | 6.01 | 6.07 | 0.12 | 0.76 |
| Total VFA, mM | 151.8 | 153.4 | 145.2 | 6.0 | 0.21 |
| Acetate: propionate | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.70 |
| Microbial nitrogen, g/d | 579 | 590 | 583 | 24 | 0.75 |
| Organic matter TTD, % | 67.0 ^b | 67.3 ^b | 69.2 ^a | 0.5 | 0.009 |
| NDF TTD, % | 43.7 | 43.4 | 42.3 | 1.2 | 0.62 |
| Starch TTD, % | 98.2 | 98.3 | 98.5 | 0.1 | 0.25 |

¹ DMI = dry matter intake, BW = body weight, FCM = fat-corrected milk, VFA = volatile fatty acids, TTD = total tract digestibility, NDF = neutral detergent fiber.

^{ab} Least squares means within a row without a common superscript differ ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table 4. Ingredient and chemical composition (dry matter basis) of low-starch diets varying in forage content (52, 47, 43, and 39% forage) fed to lactating Holstein cows

| Item | Diet | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 52% forage | 47% forage | 43% forage | 39% forage |
| Ingredient composition | | | | |
| Corn silage, % | 37.3 | 34.0 | 31.0 | 27.9 |
| Alfalfa-grass silage, % | 14.5 | 11.1 | 5.9 | 0.6 |
| Wheat straw, % | - | 2.1 | 6.2 | 10.3 |
| Distillers grains, % | 11.1 | 10.3 | 9.5 | 8.8 |
| Soybean meal (48%), % | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.4 | 12.2 |
| Wheat middlings, % | 7.4 | 12.5 | 16.1 | 19.3 |
| Corn, finely ground, % | 5.6 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 7.3 |
| Beet pulp, % | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| Other, % | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.4 |
| Chemical composition | | | | |
| Crude protein, % | 17.3 | 17.7 | 17.3 | 18.1 |
| Acid detergent fiber, % | 20.5 | 20.6 | 19.9 | 19.1 |
| Neutral detergent fiber (NDF), % | 37.4 | 37.5 | 37.0 | 36.0 |
| Forage NDF, % | 25.0 | 23.1 | 21.7 | 20.3 |
| Starch, % | 20.2 | 20.8 | 21.2 | 21.6 |
| Sugar, % | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.2 |

SESSION NOTES